



## **Citizens' Assembly of Scotland** **Process note, weekend 2**

Revision of process on the Sunday.

Due to a shortage of time, the process envisaged in the process paper on page 9 ("Identifying issues for future weekends") was slightly modified by the facilitation team on the day.

The process envisaged in the Process Paper was

*Each table will share their reflections on the ranked list of statements and discuss the priority areas they would like to discuss. If there is a clear top 10 from the previous step then they will focus on those 10. However, we need to be flexible - if more emerge from the previous step, then we will ask them to focus on the top X number (ideally no more than 20). They will then score each statement in descending order from what they most want to discuss to least want to discuss (modified Borda count with descending points from the top preference) . They will also be asked to make a brief note of why they have made that decision.*

To simplify discussion, the top statements (the 22) were printed on an A4 sheet of paper, in order from the most popular to the least, and were distributed to tables.

Rather than discuss the statements in detail and prioritise individually, participants were asked to choose individually six statements of the 22 that they would like to discuss at future weekends (bearing in mind the criteria set out in the process document) and then the table facilitator collated those choices into a rank order that was (returning to the envisaged process) converted into a score with 20 points for the top-ranked idea, 19 for the second and so forth as far down as the table wished to score.

This was then used to produce the final ranking for this exercise. Where tables had tied results, the ranking scores were averaged across all tied items, resulting in some ½ point outcomes.